IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GEORGE A. JACKSON, et al.,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.) C. A. No. 05-823-***
STANLEY TAYLOR, et al.,) JURY TRIAL REQUESTE
Defendants.)

DEFENDANTS TAYLOR, TALLEY AND ANSON'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

Defendants Stanley Taylor, Joyce Talley and Carl Anson ("Answering Defendants") hereby respond to Plaintiffs' Request for Production of Documents ("Request for Production"):

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. Answering Defendants object to the Request for Production to the extent that it seeks information or documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege.
- 2. Answering Defendants object to the Request for Production to the extent that it purports to require supplementation of these responses beyond that required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e).
- 3. Answering Defendants object to the Request for Production to the extent that it purports to place duties upon them not set forth in, or contemplated by, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. Answering Defendants object to the Request for Production to the extent that it purports to seek information or documents not in their possession, custody or

control.

- 5. Answering Defendants object to the Request for Production to the extent that it seeks the production of documents equally available to Plaintiff or Plaintiff's counsel. Such documents will be identified by Defendants, but will not be produced.
- Answering Defendants object to the Request for Production to the extent 6. that it purports to require production of information or documents which are impractical or unduly burdensome to reproduce.
- 7. Answering Defendants object to the Request for Production to the extent that it seeks the production of documents generated by or received from counsel for Answering Defendants in connection with this litigation on or after the date of the acceptance of representation on the grounds that such documents are protected by attorney-client and work product privileges.

RESPONSES

Subject to, and without waiver of the foregoing General Objections and those set forth in Answering Defendants' Responses, Answering Defendants respond, after a reasonable search, and subject to supplementation, as follows:

All documents, incident reports and/or work orders regarding complaints 1. of high temperatures and high heat at the Sussex Correctional Institution (SCI), Kitchen Unit since the year of 1997.

RESPONSE: Objection. This Request is vague, overly broad and unduly burdensome. Further objection on the grounds that, pursuant to the applicable statute of limitations, Plaintiffs are not entitled to information prior to 2003. Further objection as to relevance. Without waiving these objections, there are none.

2. All written memoranda or correspondence between the Department of Corrections' (DOC) food service employees at SCI regarding the conditions which forms the subject matter of the Complaint.

RESPONSE: Objection. Answering Defendants dispute the allegations in the Complaint. Further objection that this request is vague, overly broad and unduly burdensome. Without waiving these objections, Defendants could locate no such documents.

3. Produce the Delaware Correctional Association Contractual Agreement, the article or section in regard to maintaining a safe work environment.

RESPONSE: Objection. This request has no relevance to Plaintiff's claims and is not designed to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

4. Any and all written policies and/or procedures concerning the responsibility of the Defendants and representatives of the Defendants to initiate and maintain such programs as may be necessary to maintain a safe work environment at the SCI kitchen.

RESPONSE: Objection. This request is vague, overly broad and unduly burdensome. Without waiving such objection, the DOC facilities follow the National Guidelines and Standards from the American Correctional Association ("ACA"), the National Commission on Correctional Health Care ("NCCHC") and the American Correctional Food Service Association ("ACFSA") which adopted the 1999 Food Code from the US Department of Health and Human Services Public Health Division. All of these groups provide educational material on food service management, budgeting, purchasing, menu planning, therapeutic diets, inspection of food products and health and safety regulations. 5. All transcripts of interviews by any correctional personnel regarding the alleged bad condition which is the subject of this lawsuit. Any logs, books, documents, tapes, or other tangible evidence of communication between representatives of the Defendants, and the Defendants concerning the alleged bad condition at SCI main kitchen Unit.

RESPONSE: Objection. This request is vague, overly broad and unduly burdensome. Further objection that this Request seeks production of information protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine. Further objection as to the term "bad conditions."

6. Produce any training manual or training programs that instructed the State Defendants in the recognition and avoidance of unsafe conditions and the regulations applicable to their work environment to control or eliminate any hazard or other exposure to illness or injury.

RESPONSE: Objection. This request is vague, overly broad and unduly burdensome. Without waiving such objection, inmates working in the kitchen are provided an orientation and "Serv-Safe" training on the following topics: introduction to food safety, personal hygiene, preparation, cooking, proper cleaning and sanitizing. Serv-Safe is a recognized accredited program from the National Restaurant Association in which all DOC personnel food staff are required to complete.

7. Produce all SCI main kitchen log books since 1996, and any other documents which maintain recorded temperatures at the SCI main kitchen.

RESPONSE: This Request is vague, overly broad and unduly burdensome. Further objection on the grounds that, pursuant to the applicable statute of limitations, Plaintiffs

are not entitled to information prior to 2003. Further objection as to relevance. Without waiving such objection, no documents are kept in reference to the air temperature in the kitchen. Nor is the air temperature documented in the log books.

> STATE OF DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

/s/ Eileen Kelly

Eileen Kelly, I.D. #2884 Deputy Attorney General Carvel State Office Building 820 North French Street, 6th Floor Wilmington, Delaware 19801 eileen.kelly@state.de.us (302) 577-8400

Attorney for Defendants Stanley Taylor, Date: January 23, 2007 Joyce Talley and Carl Anson

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 23, 2007, I electronically filed *Defendants*Stanley Taylor, Joyce Talley and Carl Anson's Response to Plaintiffs' Request for

Production of Documents with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF. I hereby certify that
on January 23, 2007, I have mailed by United States Postal Service, the document to the
following non-registered parties on the attached service list.

/s/ Eileen Kelly_

Eileen Kelly, ID#2884
Deputy Attorney General
Department of Justice
820 N. French St., 6th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 577-8400
eileen.kelly@state.de.us

Service List of Non-Registered Parties

George A. Jackson SBI No.: 171250 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Darus Young SBI No.: 282852 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Charles Blizzard SBI No.: 166670 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Frank Williams SBI No.: 261867 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Roy R. Williamson SBI No.: 291856 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Anthony Morris SBI No.: 300363 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Carl Walker SBI No.: 173378 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Samuel Jones SBI No.: 465297 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Darwin A. Savage SBI No.: 232561 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Gilbert Williams SBI No.: 137575 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Charles B. Sanders SBI No.: 160428 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Joseph White SBI No.: 082985 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Timothy L. Malloy SBI No.: 171278 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Howard Parker SBI No.: 165324 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Kevin Spivey SBI No.: 258693 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

James Johnson SBI No.: 155123 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Roderick Brown SBI No.: 315954 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Roger Thomas SBI No.: 292590 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Lawrence B. Dickens SBI No.: 124570 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Eldon Potts SBI No.: 211193 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Jerome Green SBI No.: 147772 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Rique Reynolds SBI No.: 266486 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Vernon Truitt SBI No.: 188191 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Jose Serpa SBI No.: 350322 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

John F. Davis SBI No.: 263753 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947